

App. F. IX-4

Present

Previous References

Muz 271-28-1-6

1934
(Muzrai)

File No. 58-341

Serial Nos. 1-6

Later References.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 6

Part B for

January 35-

SUBJECT.

Appeal against the Muz Courts order re the
reserve fund of the Hazareeth Baba Hydro
li Darga of Mulbagal. Kolar District.

To. Mr O.S.N. Sheriff Advocate
No 149. Commercial Street.
C & M. Station Bangalore

WD 01965-GPB-15,000-7-7-34

No 982. 8 8-1-35-

intimates that the various changes of the
~~fixed at Rs 72 11-0~~ ~~recovery of reserve was~~ ~~instead of the darga by~~

Muz app against the order of the Muzrai Commissioner

General and Revenue
Secretariat

Muz File No.

59

of 1934-5

[App. F. III-2]

Serial
No.

1 Petition of 7.9.1934 but presented in person on 14.9.1934 to the Member of Council by Mr. O.S.N. Sheriff Advocate Bangalore on behalf of Mr. Hyder Sheriff Late Muzavar and Mans of Hazanath Baba Hyder Valli Durg of Mulbagal Kolar District appealing against the order of the Muzrai Commissioner in the matter of the revised scale for the Durg District that the existing practice of Muzavars defraying the cost of daily salaries be continued, a reserve of Rs 112/11-6 be provided towards "Reserve".

2 Petition of 7.9.34 from the Advocate praying for a stay of the order until the Lower Court in the matter.

(1) Note of the Second Member of Council

"Presented by Mr. O.S. Nasrulla Sher Prays for a stay order. Please"

"The fee is sufficiently stamped and tendered in time."

3 The Justice is in receipt of a Cash grant of Rs 10,000. The representation now made is a grant of a revised scale of Rs 10,000 entered by the M.C. in the interests of the Durg. It is open to the Muz. officials to introduce revised scale of expenditure as contemplated by law.

4 Contribution to Muz. Police for the year 1934-5

2) Muz Appl against a revised lease for Hazrat Nizamuddin Dargah, Pilib.

Muz File No.

of 1934-5

General and Revenue
Secretariat

Serial
No.

Complaints of in para (2) of the P. U. is inevitable.

It is levied on all muz. Insh.

'Contribution to Reserve' Contribution in para 3 of the P. U. is also levied on the case of all muz. Insh., and this is meant for repairs and improvement to the Insh.

The enhanced grant clause 4 of the P. U. does not come into force, as it does not form one of the orders by the M. C. & A. which are before you.

1) (Re i) about the reduced grant for the celebration of 'Eid' a provision of Rs 100/- only has been made by the M. C. & A. against Rs 265/- 5/- 3 formerly spent for the purpose. The expenses amounted to Rs 265/- 1/- 0 when there was no contribution to M. C. & A. and the rest is in para 4 of the P. U.

2) The Eid ceremony is held to be held on 18/10/34 & no good grounds are urged for a stay order.

3) It appears, the P. U. will be sent to M. C. & A. for a report together with the copy of the connected records immediately before the close of the current month.

4) The request for a stay order is for order.

G.W.
12/9
m. 10/9

317 318 (2)

General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No.

of 1934.

[App. F. III-2]

Serial
No.

11) As the 'Ums' is said to come off on 18.10.34 and it is stated that the present order of the M.C. if given effect would create a hardship and the 'Ums' will have to be stopped, a stay order may be issued pending disposal of appeal before forth.

12) The appeal may be admitted and the M.C. asked to send up report with records.

28
18/9/34

Ch: Secy)

(A) may be appd.

222 members

22
19/9/34

24/9

22
21/9/34

24/9

All copies of forwarded but not on the P. U. B. may be signed. App re: stay order held

24/9

24/9

Please speak to me
24/9

[Signature]

[Signature]

SECRETARY
19 SEP. 1934

SECO
20 SEPT. 1934
21 4-7

4) ^{nos 5034-35} ^{may} ^{upward} & revised scale
of expenditure for Hyderabad Durg. Mulbagal

File No.

59 of 1934

General and Revenue
Secretariat

Serial
No.

(19) as discussed in para 18 ruled
38 ⁱⁿ 26/9

20) See note to me.
Spent up separately with
to me advised 26/9

No 489 of 27.9.1934 to the Muzrai
Commissioner requesting him to hold his
overs referred to therein in abeyance pending
disposal of the appeal preferred before

The Second Member of Council
Memo no 490 of 27.9.34 to the Muzrai Commissioner calling for record
20) of 1. Called for in para 18 ruled -

45
27/9 ⁱⁿ 24/9/34

23) See 25/9

No 511 of 2.10.34 to Mr. O.S.N. 4.
Shri Advocate Bangalore intimating
that his request for a stay order is granted

Memo no 512 of 2.10.34 to Mr.
Hyder Shroff Sah Muzrai - Hazanata
Saba Hyderabad Durg. Mulbagal
forwarding copy of the above
intimation.

Letter no H.C 43/34-35 of 12.11.34
from the Muzrai Commissioner submitting
the records connected with the appeal 5
and stating that he has come to know
from the Muzrais of Hyderabad Durg.
Mulbagal that out of Rs 112-11-0 provided
for Reserve a sum of Rs 72-11-0 is
reallocated for Vessels Charge

21) This reference is invited to be

26 SEP 1934

TYPING C
28 SEP 1934
GENL

From
27/10
Mr

Serial
No.

revised scale of expenditure fixed by the M.C.
in respect of Hyderabad Dunga Mubagal.
Percentage Contribution to the Muz. Subsidy
Fund payable by the Dunga is shown as
Rs 54/- p.a., whereas it has been fixed
at ^{Rs} 57/- as seen from G.O. No 146-7/
Muz 222-26-7 of $\frac{15}{16}$. 4.1930 - U.O
H.A. Statement C. ~~was made~~ marking
against Mubagal in Sl no 2 thereof.
This difference, it is necessary to be
pointed out to the M.C., as he may
proportionately reduce the sum of
Rs 42-11-0 proposed to be allocated for
Muz. Vess. Charge from out of Rs 42-11-0
provided for Reserve under the revised
scale.

25 The reduction of Rs 42/11 under Reserve
& The increase to that extent under Vess. Charge
has been communicated to the Amildar
Mubagal, who in his turn will have to give
time intimating the same to the Convenor
of the Dunga. The Muzavars of the
Dunga are reported to be satisfied with
this modification.

26 In view of this, if appr.,
The Advocate for the p.e.s. will be asked
to state if it is necessary that the case
should be posted for a hearing & the p.e.s. be.

My appl no 5 of 34-33

Re. revised Scale for Hyderabad Group
Mullagal -

1/11/34 File No.

of 1934. 5

General and Revenue
Secretariat

27) Ld for over

Gr 1874

28) On the appeal petition presented on behalf of the Mullagal Darga on 14/9/34 by Mr. Murali Shetty to the II Member praying that the M.C. order reducing the amount for conducting the Urs ~~be~~ be set aside, the records were called for by the M.C. with a report.

29) The M.C. now reports that during his ^{recent} visit to Mullagal the Muzavars represented their case ~~and~~ that ~~as~~ he gathered that they wd be satisfied if ~~an~~ ^{an addl sum of} Rs 72/11/- is allotted for Urs Charges, the amount provided for Reserve being reduced by an equal amount and that the D.C. reports that mistreatment have been issued to the Amra ~~to~~ to enhance the Urs charges accordingly.

30) Since the M.C. says that the allotment for Urs ~~has~~ been increased & the Muzavars are satisfied also no further action seems necessary on the appeal petition. The Advocate for the petitioners may perhaps be informed of the situation & the papers filed.


31) Ld

9.11.34 member

28/11/34
19/11/34

32) Ld 22/12

24/12



Muzaffar 5 of 1934-35

General and Revenue
Secretariat


File No.

59

of 1934

[App. F III-2]

Serial
No.



Off. Subd.
C. 2, 35-2 21/1/35

No 982 of 9-1-35 to Mr. O. S. N.
Shenoi Advocate for the petitioner
informing that the Muzaffars of the
Dunga referred to therein have agreed
to a sum of Rs 42/11- being allotted
from 112-11-0 ordered by the M.C.
to be collected as Reserve -

No 983 of 9-1-35 to Mr.
Hyda Shroff Sahi Muzaffar and
managers - Hazrat Baba
Hyder Ali Darga. Mulbagal Kolaba
forwarding copy of the above for
information -



No 984 of 9-1-35 to
the Muzaffar Commission
reporting records

OB
25/1/35


File No.

of 193

General and Revenue
Secretariat



Mez 58 - 344/



BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE
RAJARAJA OF MYSORE AT BANGALORE

Quiz Appeal No. 5 of 1934-35

Hazroth Baba Hyder Valli Durra)
of Mulbarel hv)
Hyder Shariff Sahab,) Appellant
Muzaver & Mansoor residing)
at Mulbarel, Palar District)

Presented by
The OS Marshall
Sheriff

Memorial

The Appellant abovesigned begs most respectfully to submit the following Memorial against the order of the Learned Muzrai Commissioner in your No. P.1 Dis.24/34-35 dated Bangalore 11/20th July 1934 reducing the Annual Urus Ch and levying contribution to Muzrai Establishment Fund on the following grounds and prays that recourse be called for from the Lower Court and their Counsel heard in the matter at an early date in view of the "Urus" ceremonies which fall on 13th October 1934:-

1. That the learned Puzrai Commissioner erred in reducing the Urs grant from Rs.260-5-3 to Rs.100-0-0 a year as it is quite inadequate and insufficient for holding the annual religious function.

2. That the Lower Court erred in directing a new charge of Rs.54-0-0 per year towards the Muzrai Establishment Fund from the slender annual grant made to the religious institution.

149

Prayer for a strong
order - Meane

Ch Lee
in the Comp

10000
Rog
1571
4/1579

490/Muz 8 27-9-34
Forwarded to the Commissioner for forwarding the entire records connected with the case.

29 SEP. 1934
H.C. 43/36-35
Muz. T.O.S.

By order
Muzrai Commissioner
24/9/34
for District Secretary

3. That the Learned Muzrai Commissioner, ordered in creating for the first time a reserve and allocation of Rs.112-11-0 towards this because the funds allotted are already insufficient for holding the annual "Urus" ceremonies.

4. That the Lower Court failed to appreciate the fact that originally seven villages were granted for the upkeep and maintenance of the Durra and when these villages were taken away a grant of 400 "hums" or Rs.1,200/- per year whereas the annual grant now made is only Rs.1,000-11-0 thereby reducing the original grant by Rs.112-11-0.

5. That the Learned Muzrai Commissioner has further reduced the annual grant by the creation of a reserve instead of restoring the sum of Rs.112-11-0 or the Villages originally granted to the Durra.

6. That the Appellant be granted an opportunity to represent his case and his Counsel be heard in the matter before orders are passed on this appeal.

Wherefore in view of the approaching annual Urus to be held on 13-10-1934, the Appellant prays that the new order directing a reduced scale of expenditure and reducing the amount for conducting the Urus be set aside and the original scale of expenditure be restored and the creation of a Reserve Fund and contribution to the Muzrai Establishment Fund be not levied.

Advocate for the Appellant
14 Commercial Street,
Muzrai, 7th Sept. 1934
(Sd) Hyder Sheriff
Hyder Sheriff
Muzrai, Hazrath Daba,
Hyder Valli Darga,
Mulbagal.

order No D, Dis 24/34-35-dated Bangalore

11/28th July 1934

1/10

It is seen from the revised Scale of Expenditure received from the Deputy Commissioner that certain reductions have been made under Hetchakatte expenditure with a view to provide for the reserve and Contribution to Muzrai Establishment fund as no reduction under establishment is possible. No provision has been made towards Hetchakatte Services as it is reported that they are being rendered by the Muzravaras at their cost. The Warhame-o-o-Kobbs have, at this meeting held on 16th April 1934, proposed that a provision of Rs 1/- per day may be made under this head.

As the income of the institution is limited to the annual Cash grant without any other sources of income and as the Contribution to Muzrai Establishment fund can neither be waived nor reduced as recommended by the Deputy Commissioner, the existing practice of the Muzravaras defraying the cost of daily service may be continued, subject to this condition the Scale as revised and appended to this order which provides for an expenditure of Rs 918/- and a reserve of Rs 112-11-0 against an income of Rs 1080-11-0 is sanctioned for a period of 5 years from 18th July 1934. (Sd) T. Ramasiah
Dd Dis C 54/33-34 dated 2/8, 1934. For Muzrai Comd
Rd

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Sub An
Office

Kolar with a copy of the sanctioned scale for a survey
for the action. The connected file is here with reference.

memo

(Sd) P.H. Krishna Rao
For Dy Comm
K.L.

Forwarded to the Amil Dargah Mulbagal Dg with
ref to his report no Dms 72/32-33 dated 22/11/33. The
records are enclosed.

(Sd) P.H. Krishna Rao

Amil
Kolar

27/8/34

Copy of the above order is forwarded to the
Commissioner of Hazerath Prabha Hyder Vally Dargah
of Mulbagal for information & guidance

to Vijayendran
of Amildar
22/8/1934 P.S.

Sanctioned Scale

No.	Details of expenditure	Quantity per day	per month	Cost per month	Cost per year
2	Nitya Katta.			-	-
3	Hetchu Katta				
	(a) Wages charges			100-00	100-00
	(b) Moharam charges			50-00	50-00
4	Miscellaneous				
	(1) percentage Contribution to Muzrai establishment fund			54-00	54-00
	(2) Reserve				112-11-0

Grand Total Rs 1080-11-0

(Sd) T Ramaiah
For Muzrai Comd

" Copy " (Sd) P. H. Krishna Rao

For Dy Commissioner
Kolar Dist.

" Copy " for gazette to
S. Anil Das
22/8/34.

Letter no 585/32-33 dated Bangalore 3/6th December 1932 from the Muzrai Commissioners in Mysore Bangalore to the Deputy Commissioners Kolar Dist.

With reference to your letter no A₂C 752/32-33 dated 17th Nov 1932 re the grant to the Hyderabad Dargah at Mulbagal from the General Muzrai Fund, I have the honor to state that payment of any money from the General Muzrai Fund for wiping out the debit balance of the Dargah is out of the question in as much as the General Muzrai Fund is not meant to balance the financial position of the Institution, if however there are any non-Hindu defunct Institutions in the District the balances of which may without objection be transferred to the Dargah a Special recommendation may be made for consideration.

It is also not possible either to waive or reduce the Contribution payable to the Muzrai Establishment Fund as Govt have on more than one occasion viewed such a recommendation with disfavor. I request therefore that the revision of the Dargah Scale may be taken up at once and definite proposals making adequate provision for wiping out the debit balance & for contribution to Muzrai Establishment Fund sent up early.

R.D. A₂C 752/32-33

Dy Commr Office
Kolar

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Sub-Office Kolar for information. Separate proposals for the revision of the Scale may be framed and submitted early as desired above. The connected file is herewith returned.

Sd R.V. Suralappa
Dy Commr

Forwarded to the Amul Das of mulbagal
 * Saluk for immediate action and report
 A Sp. - as meeting was, be convened and the
 question of determining the expenditure
 may be considered - (Sd) T Harmanth
 Asst Commissioner
 6/1/33

A 2 C 49
 2 33-34 memo

Referred to the Sub-Divisional Officer. As the
 Khanna Darses of the Hyderabad Darya are
 not agreeable to take the loan as stated
 in G.O. No 591-2 / Muz 45-296 dated 23rd
 October 1931 the amount of Rs 597-08-24
 be credited at once to the funds of the Mad
 Masas at Mulbagal.

The Sub-Divisional Officer is requested to
 obtain and submitted at a very early date
 proposals for the revision of scale of expenditure
 in respect of Hyderabad Darya as already
 called for in this office memo No R Des A/C
 752 / 32-33 of 13th December 1932 making a deep
 provision for wiping out the debit balance
 and for contribution to Muzrai Establish-
 - ment fund -

The entire file is herewith returned -

21/3/33
 (Sd) [Signature]

ಇದೇ ದಿನಕ್ಕೆ 3 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಹಣಕಾಸು ಇಲಾಖೆಗೆ
 ಒಪ್ಪಿಸಿ. ಮುಖ್ಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳು ಹಣಕಾಸು ಇಲಾಖೆ
 ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 45, 296 ದಿನಾಂಕ 23 ರಿಂದ 24 ರವರೆಗೆ
 ಹಣಕಾಸು ಇಲಾಖೆಗೆ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಒಪ್ಪಿಸಿ.

Megascops 16
Buteo borealis

Lot	Amount	Received	Balance
	Establishment pay of muzzavars-		
1	Mahamud Shroff-	4-14-6	4 96
2	Abdul Khudus-	4 14 6	
3	Hussni pacha-	3 6 11	
4	Dad Sab-	3 6 11	
5	Shabbash Sab	2 9 -	
6	Bandi Jansen Sab	2 9 -	
7	Imayal Sab-	2 9 -	
8	Mahamud Jaffer	3 6 6	
9	Khadar Bisale Sab	1 11 4	
10	Jamalahere - br Abdul Shroff	2 7 3	
11	Mahamud Hussni-	1 11 4	
12	Abdul Jabbar - Sab	2 7 3	
13	Ro Keabe	1 11 3	
14	Abdul Latiff Kaste -	1 10 3	
15	Gulam Hyder Sab	1 10 3	
16	Hyder Shroff	1 10 2	
17	Ghouse bee-	2 8 11	
18	Abdul Latiff	1 10 2	
19	Jeppu Marfan	1 10 2	
20	Ahamud Sab	1 4 6	
21	Kaste Usman Sab	1 3 6	
22	Gaffoor Sab & San Dastiger Sab	1 4 11	
23	Mahadeen Sab	0 13 1	
24	Ibrahim Sab-	1 0 3	
25	Dastigir Sab-	0 13 1	
26	Haza & Pulio	1 10 2	
27	Shukha Mahamud Sab	0 13 1	
28	Pier Sab -	1 4 6	
29	Hussni pacha	1 3 7	
30	Pattu Ahamud-	2 7 1	
31	Fathma bee	1 2 1	

Hetchakable (wages)
Charges

1	20000 2000 20000 20000 20000 20000	34	14	6	32	13	6	2-10
2	20000 2000 20000 20000 20000 20000	34	14	6	32	14	6	2-10
3	20000 2000 20000 20000 20000 20000	4	5	10	4	1	7	04-3
4	20000 2000 20000 20000 20000 20000	46	8	10	43	10	4	2-14
5	20000 2000 20000 20000 20000 20000	14	8	10	13	10	4	04-6
6	20000 2000 20000 20000 20000 20000	34	14	6	32	13	6	2-10
7	20000 2000 20000 20000 20000 20000	2	14	6	2	11	6	0-3
8	20000 2000 20000 20000 20000 20000	17	6		16	5		1-10
9	20000 2000 20000 20000 20000 20000	21	1	8	19	12	8	1-50
10	20000 2000 20000 20000 20000 20000	1	7	4	1	6	4	0-10

✓ 213 0 6 200 2 3 12-143

workshop charges

46 19 43 3 9 2-14
52 49 49 4 6 3-0-5

other charges

I Establishment

workshop

other charges

764-4-0
213-0-6
41-1-9
✓ 5-4-9
1-11

63 11 59 4 48-00
716 4-0
200 2 3 12-143
43 3 9 2-14
49 4 6 3-0-5
1008-14 6 12-6

1075-11-0

1075-11

1075-11

1075-11

1075-11
1075-11
1075-11

Old Scale of Establishment of Hazrat Hyder Ali Dargah
Tulbatal

18

No.	Items	Present scale
-----	-------	------------------

1.	Establishment - Pay of Muzaveers	Rs. . . p
	Mahmood Sheriff	4-14- 6
2.	Abdul Khudas	4-14- 6
3.	Hussain Pacha	3- 6-11
4.	Dade Sab	3- 6-11
5.	Shabbash Sab	2- 9- 0
6.	Bendi Jaman Sab	2- 9- 0
7.	Ismayal Sab	2- 9- 0
8.	Mahmud Jaffer	3- 6- 6
9.	Khadar Basac Sab	1-11- 4
10.	Jameelabi bin Abdulla Sheriff	2- 7- 3
11.	Mahamed Hussain	1-11- 4
12.	Abdul Jabbar Sab	2- 7- 3
13.	Rokir Bi	1-11- 3
14.	Abdul Kaffi Kasti	1-10- 3
15.	Gulam Hyder Sab	1-10- 3
16.	Hyder Sheriff	1-10- 2
17.	Ghouse Bee	2- 9-11
18.	Abdul Kaffi	1-10- 2
19.	Tippu Mastan	1-10- 2
20.	Ahamud Sab	1- 4- 6
21.	Kasti Usman Sab	1- 3- 6
22.	Gaffoor Sab son of Dastagir Sab	1- 4-11
23.	Mahsdeen Sab	0-13- 1
24.	Ibrahim Sab	1- 0- 3
25.	Dastagir Sab	0-13- 1
26.	Hayath Pacha	1-10- 2
27.	Shuka Mahamed Sab	0-13- 1
28.	Peer Sab	1- 4- 6
29.	Hussain Pacha	1- 3- 7
30.	Pitti Ahamed	2- 9- 1
31.	Fathamabee	1- 2- 7

		63-11- 0

Hatchkettle (Urus)

1.	Bandva Chowk Bandari Refeek	34-14- 6
	Alli Shaw	34-14- 6
2.	Mallam Fakir Shabir Alli Shaw	4- 5-10
3.	Sitting charges for above	46- 5-10
4.	Madari Fakir Khadder Shaw	14- 5-10
5.	Rafayi Fakir Alli Shaw	34-14- 6
6.	Jalali Chowk Hyder Alli Shaw	2-14- 6
7.	Kumbar Venkatappa	17- 6- 0
8.	Sandal	21- 1- 0
9.	Nithyakettle Oil per year	1- 7- 4
10.	Shunna	-----
		213- 0- 6


Moharum charges	46- 1- 9
Other charges	52- 4- 9

I Establishment (Rs. 63-11-0 per month
Urus charges
Moharum charges

Total per year

Rs. 76-11-0
23- 1- 3
46- 1- 9

Rs. 1,070-11-0



7

Before the Government of His
In the Court of the Highness the Maharaja
of Mysore at Bangalore
My Appeal
Suit No. of 1934, -1935.

~~Plaintiff~~ Appellant.

Defendant/Respondent.

~~Petitioner/Complainant.~~

Counter Petitioner/Accused.

Harvath Bala
Hyder Valli Durga
of Mulbagal. Vs. {
.....
.....
.....
.....

I,.....the Appellant.....do hereby
appoint and retain Mr. O. S. N. SHERIFF, M.A., (Litt) LL.B., Advocate
to appear for me in the above suit / original / miscellaneous petition
and to conduct and prosecute (or defend) the same and all proceedings
that may be taken in respect of any application for execution of any
decree or order passed therein. I empower my Advocate to appear in
all miscellaneous proceedings in the above suit or matter till all decrees
or orders are fully satisfied or adjusted and to obtain the return of
documents and draw any money that might be payable to me in the
said suit or matter.

The address for service of the said Advocate is No. 149, Com-
mercial Street C. & M. Station, Bangalore.

Accepted.
O. S. N. Sheriff
ADVOCATE,
Bangalore

Dated, 7. 12. 1934.

(Sd) Hyder Sheriff
Appellant
Personally known to me
and signed in my
presence.

O. S. N. Sheriff
Municipal Councillor,
Bangalore City
and
Advocate, Bangalore.

Before the Government of
~~In the Court of the~~.....
His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore
at Bangalore
My Appeal
Suit No. of 1934-1935.

Hazrat Bala ~~Plaintiff~~/Appellant
Hyder Valli Durga ~~Petitioner~~/Complainant
of Mulbagal
Vs

Defendant/Respondent

Counter Petitioner/Accused

MEMO.

VAKALATANAMA.

Filed on.....7th Sept.....1936.

For the

Appellant

M. O. S. N. SHERIFF, M.A., (Litt) LL B.,
Municipal Councillor, Bangalore City,
and
Advocate Bangalore.

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE WADIAJAH OF MYSORE AT BANGALORE

Muzr. App No. of 1934-35

 Hazrath Daba Wyder Valli)
 Pirra of Mulbaral by)
 Iyer Shoriff Sahab,)
 Muzavar & Menarar,) Appeller
 residing at Mulbaral,)
 Kolar District.)



 M e m o

1. Memorial
2. Order Copy No. D.1. Dis. 24/34-35
 dated 11/28th July 1934
3. A Vokathnama

Filed on 7th September 1934

For the Appellant

D. N. Shariff Esq., B.A., (Lit) L.L.B.,
Advocate & Municipal Commissioner,
149, Commercial Street,
Bangalore.



Before the Government of His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore at
Bangalore.

Muz. App No of 1934-35
Muz. Pet No of 34-35

Hazrat Baba Hyder
Vallidurga of Mulbagal
by its Murad Ali
Hyder Sheriff
Mulbagal

Petitioner
Appellant

Stay Petition.

The petitioner above named
has most respectfully to submit
as follows:-

1. That the petitioner (appellant)
has this two day of September 1934 filed
an appeal against the order of the
Learned Muzai Commissioner in Mysore
directing the reduction of the grant
for the celebration of the annual "urus"
and the creation of a reserve fund
and contribution to the Muzai
Establishment Fund

2. That meanwhile the annual "urus" ceremonies for the current year have to be celebrated on the 18th day of October 1934 in accordance with the usage and in a manner befitting the religious institution and in accordance with the practice obtaining in this behalf from time immemorial.

3. That the sum of Rs 265-5-3 granted in the past for the celebration of the "urus" is reduced to the sum of Rs 100/- by virtue of the order appealed against. It is well nigh impossible to conduct the "urus" with the grant of Rs 100/- unless ^{the usual Rs. 100/-} the sum of Rs 265-5-3 is allowed to be spent for holding the "urus" this year, the petitioners will be compelled to abandon it and great dissatisfaction will prevail amongst the devotees of the Durga.

4 Wherefore in the ends
 of justice and in accordance
 with the practice in the previous
 years the petitioner has permitted
 to spend the usual sum and
 a stay order he issued to the
 Amildar at Mulbagal from
 having effect to the new order
 pending the disposal of the
 appeal.

Ex. 11/10

7.9.34
 498, Commercial Street
 Bangalore

S. S. S. S.
 Advocate
 for
 the petitioner

(Sd) Hyder Ali
 Muravoi
 of the said District
 for
 the petitioner
 (Appellant)

The petitioner above named
 do hereby declare that what has
 been set forth in para 1 to 4 above
 is true to the best of his knowledge,
 belief and information - This
 7th day of September 1934 at
 Bangalore.

Ex. 11/10

(Sd) Hyder Ali
 for the petitioner

Before the Hon'ble H. H. the Maharaja
of Mysore at Bangalore.

Muz Aff No 71934-35

Harappa Baba Hyder Valli Durgay Mulbagal Hyder Sheikh Sahab Muravos Residing at Mulbagal Kolar District.	}	Petitioner <u>Appellant</u>
--	---	--------------------------------

Memo.
Stay Petition

Advocate
for
the Appellant
O S N. S. - No 111
149 Commercial
Cross B

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. 488 *hmc* Bangalore, Dated, 27th Sept. 34.
From Muz 58-34-3 (25)

The Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

I am directed to state that your Order No.D.1.
Dis.24/34-35 dated 28th July 1934 re: a revised scale
of expenditure for Hazarath Baba Hyder valli Durga of
Mulbagal, Kolar District may be held in abeyance
pending disposal of the appeal preferred before
Government against the orders passed by you.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

[Signature]
For Chief Secretary to
Government.

Examined by... ..

Li.

Copy to Mr O P. N. Sheriff H.A. 111

Average. 149. Commercial Street

Bağcıoğlu for information to the Sep.

this piece of 7.7.36 but presented before by you on 14.9.36.

B.O.

For G. H. S. C.

Put up a
separate letter
to this lawyer
please

26/9

Go
2079

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

(28)

Bangalore, Dated, - 2nd October 1934.

No

511

From

Muz 59-34

The Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

Mr. O. S. N. Sheriff, M. A., LL. B.,
Advocate, 149, Commercial Street, Bangalore.

Sir,
xxx

Dear Sir,

With reference to petition presented on 14th
September 1934 on behalf of Hyder Sheriff Sah, Muzavar and
Manager of Hazarath Baba Hyder Valli Durga of Mulbagal praying
for a stay of the orders passed by the Muzrai Commissioner
regarding revised scale of expenditure for the Institution,
I am directed to inform you that the request is granted.

Yours faithfully,

Sd. R. Ranga Rao.

Chief Secretary to Government.

Memo No.

512

51

Dated Bangalore, the 2nd October 1934.

Copy to Mr. Hyder Sheriff Sah, Muzavar, Hazarath
Baba Hyder Valli Durga of Mulbagal.

BY order,

J. S. Narayan
for Chief Secretary to Government.
G. S.

SUBJECT

Section

File No.

Serial No.

149

58-34

No.

Diary No. of letter replied to

To

Mr. O. S. N. Shaibh HA. d. B.

Adocate. 149.

Commercial Street

Bangalore.

General and Revenue Secretariat

()
the 193 .

Date of issue *2/10/34*

Dear Sir,

With refⁿ to facⁿ

Signed by *Mr. J. S. Narayana Jyengar*

*presented on 14-9-34 on behalf
of Hyder Shaibh Sale, Muzam
and manager of Hazrat
Baba Hyder Valli Dargah
of Gulbarga praying
for a Stat. of the rolls
passed by the M.C. re:
revised scale of expenditure
for the Instⁿ, I am directed*

List of enclosures

Copied by *M. M. 13*

Examined by

to inform you that the M.C.
has been asked to hold

~~its session in abeyance~~
~~pending the appeal preferred~~
~~before the court of~~
~~the~~ your request is granted.

Yours faithfully
1/25/19

For Chh. Sec.
512 of 2/10/34
Copy to Mr. Hyder Shereef Sab-
Muzawar - Hazrat
Baba Hyder Vali Dargah
of ulbagal.

B.O
1/25/19
For Chh. Sec.

G.S.
1119

M C 13.]

No. He. 43/34-35
Encl 2 files

58-345
OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER,
IN MYSORE, (31)

Dated, Bangalore, 11th NOVEMBER, 1934
12

FROM

N. MADHAVA RAO, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

542

SECRETARY To
13 NOV 1934

Rajasevarajita
R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore Department.

Sir,

317

With reference to your Memo No. 490/Muz. dated 27-9-1934 calling for the records relating to the reduction of the annual Urus charges of Fazarath Baba Hyderavalli Barga, Mulbagal, I have the honor to forward herewith the entire records received with Kolar Deputy Commissioner's letter No. A2-7.063/34-35 dated 1-11-1934 (copy enclosed) together with this office file No. M1 Dis. 24/34-35 dated 28-7-1934 and to state that the facts of the case are set out clearly in the Amildar's report dated 26-10-1934.

2. During my recent visit to Mulbagal, the Muzavars referred to in the case and I gathered that they would be satisfied if out of Rs. 112-11-0 provided for Reserve, a sum of Rs. 72-11-0 is re-allotted for Urus charges. The Deputy Commissioner reports that instructions have been issued to the Amildar to enhance the Urus charges accordingly.

3. The appeal petition received with your Memo is herewith returned. Copy of Deputy Commissioner's letter dated 1-10-1934 is enclosed for reference.

I have the honor to be,

Sr,

Your most obedient servant,

N. Madhava Rao
Muzrai Commissioner.

M

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

33

Letter No.A2-C.363, 34-35 dated 1-11-34 from the Deputy Commissioner, District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

32

Reduction of Urus charges - Hazarath Fala Myervalli Marga, Hulbagal -
petition to Government.

With reference to your Official Memorandum No.H.C.43/34-35 dated the 11 October 1934 calling for report with records on the above subject, I have the honor to forward herewith the entire record bearing on the subject received from the Amildar of Hulbagal with his No.Muz.72/32-33 dated 24th October 1934, along with his report received with his No.72/32-33 dated 26th October 1934. The Amildar has made a detailed report on all the points raised in the Hazarath's petition to Government. The petition received with the Official Memorandum under reply is sent enclosed herewith.

"COPY"

Ramanna

For Muzrai Commissioner.

Rh

33

Letter No.A2-G.863/34-35 dated 1-10-34 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar district, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Referring to your Memo No.H.O.40 of 3-35 dated 28th September 1934 directing that the revision of the scale ordered in your order No.D1 DIS.24/34-35 dated 11/28th July 1934 may be held in abeyance pending decision of the appellate preferred to Government, I have the honor to state that on your visit to Mulbagal on the 27th instant the Muzravars represented their case and it was agreed to by them that they would be satisfied if out of Rs.112-11-0 provided for Reserve, a sum of Rs.72-11-0 is re-appropriated and added on to III (a) Urus charges. The Amildar was therefore instructed accordingly.

"COPY"

Ramanna

For Muzrai Commissioner.

Wh

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 21st Nov. 1935

No. 982
mez 59-34-6

30 (34)

From

The Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

MR. O.S.H. Sheriff, Esq. (11th) Esq.,
No. 14, Court Street,
Civil & Military Station, Bangalore.

Sir,

Dear Sir,

With reference to petition dated 7th April 1934 filed
by you on behalf of Mr. Sheriff Sheriff Esq., Manager and Director
of Basaviah Baba Mysore Trust for the purpose of collecting against the orders of the District Commissioner in the
matter of the reduction of the rate of the land revenue
charges etc., I am directed to state that it is to be noted that the
District Commissioner that he gathered from the Manager and Director
recounted their case to him during his visit to Mysore that they
would be satisfied if out of Rs. 11-11-0 provided for the
additional sum of Rs. 7-11-0 is allotted for the charges and
that instructions have accordingly been issued to the District
Commissioner to enhance the rates etc. The Mysore Cammunicated by in this
Office Memo No 511/mez 59-34 of 2.10.34 is hereby withdrawn.
Yours faithfully,

Sd. A. Ranga Rao.

Chief Secretary to Government.

Nemo No. 984/mez of 9-1-35

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the
District Commissioner in Mysore returning records received with
his letter No. H.C. 43/34-35 dated 11th November 1934. The Mysore
directed in this Office letter No. 489/mez 27.9.34 is hereby withdrawn.
By Order,

[Signature]
or Chief Secretary to Government.

SUBJECT

scribes

Diary No. of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

()
the 193 .

Date of issue 8-7-35

Signed by *B. Muddakrishna*

List of enclosures

Copied by *1005/9/11/35*

Examined by

Section *Mag.*
File No. 59-34
Serial No. 6

No. *982*

To

Mr. O. S. N. Shaib H.A. (K.H.)
Advocate - D.R.
No 149, Commercial Street
City Station, Bangalore -

Dear Sir

In the reference to being
7.9.34 filed by you on behalf
of Mr. Hyder Shaib Sir,
Muzavir and Manager of
Kazmath Baba Hyderali
Durga of Gulbarga - who is
appealing against the orders
of the M.C. in the matter of the
Reserve Fund and Contribution
to the Muz. Establishment Fund
from the Durga and also

the reduction of the annual dues, &c,
~~the reduced provision for~~ dues,

I am directed to ^{state that} inform you that

~~The request for waiving the reserved~~

~~Fund and the Contribution to the M.E.~~

~~Fund cannot be complied with.~~

There are also used at
belonging to the
other rows
6/5
7/1/35

~~As regards the request for ^{allowing} the~~

~~dues charge~~, it is learnt from

the M.C. that ^{he gathered from the} they were ^{who} represented

their case to him during his visit

to Mulbagal ^{stating} that they would

be satisfied if out of Rs. 112-4-0

provided for Reserve ^{an additional} sum of Rs. 72/11-0

is allotted for dues charge &

that instructions have been ^{accordingly} issued

to the Amildar to enhance the dues charge.
The stay order communicated in this office Memo No 511 of 2-10-34 is
Accordingly ^{herely withdrawn} -

Yours faithfully

2/11/35

For C. S. R.

983 P.S. - 1-35 -

Cy to Mr. Hyde Sheriff Sahi Mysore

& Manager - Hazrat Baba Hyde Valli

Durg, Mulbagal. K. S. W. for

information

R.
2/11/35
For C. S. R.

R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

884-35

984 / May 3rd 1935
Cons to m.c. returning

records received with

letter no H.C. 42 / 34-35

3
of 12.11.34. Mr. Mayson
directed in this office letter no. 489/2
of 27.9.34 is hereby B. O
withdrawn -

12/11/35

For to be seen

G.S.
2.1.35